



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,637	04/28/2006	Denis Barritault	251867	5413
23460 7590 03/04/2010 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731				
EXAMINER WINTERBERG, NISSA M				
ART UNIT 1618		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 03/04/2010		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Chgpatent@leydig.com
Chgpatent1@leydig.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/577,637		BARRITAU ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Nissa M. Westerberg		1618	

All Participants:

(1) Nissa M. Westerberg.

(2) Ken Spina.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called to clarify how the species elected in the December 17, 2009 reply fits within the scope of the claims. It was determined that the elected polymer does not fit within the scope of claim 1 due to the absence of the "R" group in -R0S03R' substituent. Applicant indicated that they did not wish to change the elected species but claim 1 would be amended and electronically filed so as to encompass the elected species.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1 pm ET

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)